



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,958	05/02/2005	Helen Braven	ATLAS 8095 US	8800
39843	7590	04/26/2010		
BELL & ASSOCIATES 58 West Portal Avenue No. 121 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94127			EXAMINER POHNERT, STEVEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

abell@bell-iplaw.com
mkaser@bell-iplaw.com
info@bell-iplaw.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/506,958	Applicant(s) BRAVEN ET AL.	
	Examiner STEVEN C. POHNERT	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) STEVEN C. POHNERT.

(2) Matthew Kaser.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2010

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Steven C Pohnert/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative about the amendment to the claims filed 1//26/2010. The examiner noted the response only contained two of the 9 pages of claims previously presented and did not indicate the corresponding claims were canceled. Applicant's representative agreed to send in a complete corrected set of claims within a week. No agreement to patentability was discussed..